

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,385	04/30/2004	Marcus Steen	00173.0054.PCUS00	1682
28694 75	90 09/19/2006		EXAMINER	
NOVAK DRU	ICE & QUIGG, LLP	PANG, ROGER L		
1300 EYE STR	EET NW			
400 EAST TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3681	
			DATE MAILED: 09/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/709,385	STEEN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Roger L. Pang	3681				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, I - Extensions of time may be available u after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin - If NO period for reply is specified abov - Failure to reply within the set or exten	FROM THE MAILING DA inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ig date of this communication. re, the maximum statutory period w ded period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	 I. selv filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). 				
Status			•				
1) Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on 04 Au	<u>ıgust 2006</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
·—	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,9,10 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6-8 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers			•				
Applicant may not reque Replacement drawing sh	is/are: a) account and account any objection to the neet(s) including the correct	r. epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob eaminer. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO	-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D 3) Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4-30 and S 	erawing Review (PTO-948) (s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

The following action is in response to the amendment filed for application 10/709,385 on August 4, 2006.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 13-18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on August 4, 2006.

Applicant argues that there are no specific grounds for said restriction. It can be shown, however, that different classifications for both groups have been disclosed as a reason for the restriction, along with the different control means used. Applicant's arguments have been considered, but are not persuasive and the restriction is still considered proper.

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Sweden on October 31, 2002. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the PCT (PCT/SE02/01992) application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless

Art Unit: 3681

the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. With regard to claim 2, in line 2, the limitation of "the highest permitted gear" lacks antecedent basis. With regard to claim 12, on line 1, the limitation of "the elements" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 9-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rauch '510. With regard to claim 1, Rauch teaches a vehicle comprising a drivetrain, having an internal combustion engine 36 and a gearbox 14 coupled to the internal combustion engine, and a control unit 16 for automatic gear selection as a function of the current rotational speed of an input shaft to the gearbox ($Vsp = IS \times GR$), in which the control unit has a first operating mode (cold start) with a first working speed range defined by a first lower limit A3 for downshifting to a gear with a higher transmission ratio, wherein the control unit has a second operating mode

Art Unit: 3681

with a second working speed range defined by a second lower limit A1 for downshifting to a gear with a higher transmission ratio, in which the second limit A1 is lower than the idling speed (1200rpm for cold start) of the internal combustion engine and is equal to a lower rotational speed than the first lower limit (A1 < A3). With regard to claim 2, Rauch teaches the vehicle, wherein the control unit comprises a memory unit in which a representation of the highest permitted gear in the second working speed range is stored (the highest gear in the transmission). With regard to claim 3, Rauch teaches the vehicle, wherein the control unit is configured to select the highest permitted gear or a gear lower than the highest permitted gear when the control unit has assumed the second operating mode (i.e. 4-3 downshift). With regard to claim 4, Rauch teaches the vehicle, wherein the control unit is coupled to elements 40 for indicating the selection of first or second operating mode. With regard to claim 5, Rauch teaches the vehicle, wherein the vehicle contains an engine management unit 20, which comprises an idling speed regulator (throttle), the idling speed regulator being designed to control the torque delivered from the internal combustion engine when the vehicle is operated at idling speed in the second operating mode (engine is held at idling during closed throttle = engine control). With regard to claim 9, Rauch teaches the vehicle, wherein the vehicle contains elements (parts of 16) for establishing that the internal combustion engine is delivering sufficient torque for operation of the vehicle at an operating speed equal to a rotational speed of a gearbox input shaft below the first limit. With regard to claim 10, Rauch teaches the vehicle, wherein the drivetrain contains a clutch unit 48 arranged between the internal combustion engine and the gearbox, the drivetrain being divided into a first part up to the clutch unit and comprising the internal combustion engine and a second part from the clutch unit onwards and comprising the gearbox, characterized in

Application/Control Number: 10/709,385 Page 5

Art Unit: 3681

That the second limit A1 for downshifting is equal to a speed lower than the idling speed (1200rpm during cold start) of the internal combustion engine, that the vehicle contains a sensor TSS for measuring the current rotational speed, and that the sensor is designed to measure the rotational speed in the second part of the drivetrain, the clutch unit being designed to absorb a speed differential between the rotational speed of the first and second parts of the drivetrain where insufficient torque has been delivered by the internal combustion engine, following which a rotational speed equal to the second downshifting limit is reached and downshifting is permitted. With regard to claim 12, Rauch teaches the vehicle, wherein the elements for indicating selection of the first or second operating mode comprise a throttle lever forming part of the vehicle, the control unit being designed to assume its second operating mode should the throttle lever be released into an idling position whilst in motion (and while it is in the cold start condition).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-8 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Shin, Gutknecht-Stohr, Kondo, Tinschert, Mori, Kusafuka, Suzuki, Genise, and Saito have been cited to show similar transmission controls.

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Application/Control Number: 10/709,385

transmission separately from the check.

Art Unit: 3681

Submission of your response by facsimile transmission is encouraged. The central facsimile number is (571) 273-8300. Recognizing the fact that reducing cycle time in the processing and examination of patent applications will effectively increase a patent's term, it is to your benefit to submit responses by facsimile transmission whenever permissible. Such submission will place the response directly in our examining group's hands and will eliminate Post Office processing and delivery time as well as the PTO's mail room processing and delivery time. For a complete list of correspondence not permitted by facsimile transmission, see MPEP 502.01. In general, most responses and/or amendments not requiring a fee, as well as those requiring a fee but charging such fee to a deposit account, can be submitted by facsimile transmission. Responses requiring a fee which applicant is paying by check should not be submitting by facsimile

Page 6

Responses submitted by facsimile transmission should include a Certificate of Transmission (MPEP 512). The following is an example of the format the certification might take:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and					
Trademark Office (Fax No. (571) 273-8300) on	(Date)				
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:					

(Signature)

Application/Control Number: 10/709,385

Page 7

Art Unit: 3681

If your response is submitted by facsimile transmission, you are hereby reminded that the original should be retained as evidence of authenticity (37 CFR 1.4 and MPEP 502.02). Please do not separately mail the original or another copy unless required by the Patent and Trademark Office. Submission of the original response or a follow-up copy of the response after your response has been transmitted by facsimile will only cause further unnecessary delays in the processing of your application; duplicate responses where fees are charged to a deposit account may result in those fees being charged twice.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roger L. Pang whose telephone number is 571-272-7096. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor can be reached on 571-272-7095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3681

Roger L Rang Primary Examiner Art Unit 3681

September 14, 2006